

GPL-3-free replacements of GnuPG

₁ Contents

2	Introduction	2
3	Terminology and concepts	3
4	Use cases	3
5	Non-use cases	3
6	Requirements	3
7 8 9 10 11	Depending components apertis-archive-keyring APT	5 5 5
13 14 15 16 17 18	volume-key Approach RNP rPGP Sequoia golang.org/x/crypto/openpgp gpgrv	6 6 7 8 8
20 21	Evaluation Report Debian upstream discussion	10
22	Recommendations	10
23	Risks	12
24	Introduction	
25 26 27	In accordance to its Open Source License Expectations ¹ , Apertis currently sha very old version of <code>Gnupg</code> which is still released under the <code>Gpl-2.0</code> terms, before the upstream project switched to <code>Gpl-3.0</code> .	-
28 29 30	This is problematic in the long term: the purpose of this document is to invegate alternative implementations with licensing conditions that are suitable Apertis target devices.	

The use cases for Apertis target images only depend on GnuPG for verification purposes, not for signing or encrypting. This is usually done through the gpgv

 $^{^{1} \}rm https://www.apertis.org/policies/license-expectations/$

- tool or through the libgpgme library which invokes the gpg tool and interacts with
- it via the --with-colons machine parsable mode² or the Assuan³ IPC protocol.
- Newer GPL-3-licensed versions of GnuPG can be provided in the development
- package repository for any additional need outside that do not affect targets.
- Until Ed25519 support is officially implemented in APT, the upstream version
- is imported in Apertis and our infrastructure is reworked to use it rather than
- OpenPGP signatures, we will need GnuPG to sign and install packages on
- development images. This does not affect production devices as APT is not
- meant to be used there.

42 Terminology and concepts

- **OpenPGP**: The OpenPGP protocol defines standard formats for encrypted messages, signatures, and certificates for exchanging public keys.
- GnuPG: GnuPG is a complete and free implementation of the OpenPGP standard.

$_{ ext{\tiny 47}}$ Use cases

45

49

51

53

61

- A developer wants to install an additional package on the Apertis APTbased image flashed on their device, and relies on OpenPGP signatures to assert trust in the remote package repositories.
 - A user wants to install a Flatpak application from Flathub, which only provides OpenPGP signatures to assert trust on the provided application bundles.

4 Non-use cases

- Sending emails encrypted with OpenPGP
- Creating OpenPGP signatures

Requirements

- The chosen approach to replace GnuPG on targets must:
 - have a license that matches the Apertis Open Source License Expectations⁴, including its dependencies
 - provide OpenPGP signature verification support
 - require minimal changes in tools currently depending on GnuPG
 - require minimal non-upstreamable changes

²https://github.com/gpg/gnupg/blob/master/doc/DETAILS

³https://www.gnupg.org/documentation/manuals/assuan/index.html

 $^{^4 {\}rm https://www.apertis.org/policies/license-expectations/}$

- have an active upstream community
- have a high code quality track

66 Depending components

- 67 GRUPG and the related components are currently used in Apertis for the following
- packages (based on apt-rdepends results):

component	dependent package	source	repository
gnupg	flatpak-tests	flatpak	target
	libgpgme11	gpgme1.0	target
	libvolume-key1	volume-key	target
	ostree-tests	ostree	target
	python-apt		development
	devscripts		development
	gnupg2		development
	jetring		development
libgpgme11	flatpak	flatpak	target
	flatpak-tests	flatpak	target
	libflatpak0	flatpak	target
	gmime-bin	gmime	target
	libgmime-3.0-0	gmime	target
	libgpgmepp6	gpgme1.0	target
	libvolume-key1	volume-key	target
	samba-dsdb-modules	samba	development
gpgv	apertis-archive-keyring		target
	apt		target
	gnupg		target
	devscripts		development
	gpgv2		development

69 Current packages using Gnupg or gpgv are:

component	dependencies
apertis-archive-keyring	gpgv
apt	gpgv
flatpak	gnupg, libgpgme11
gmime	libgpgme11
ostree	gnupg, libgpgme11(1)
volume-key	gnupg, libgpgme11

^{70 (1)} Currently OSTree in Apertis does not depend on Gnupg as it exclusively uses

⁷¹ Ed25519 signatures. However, the reintroduction of OpenPGP signature verifica-

- tion support may be requested in the future to be able to verify the provenance
- ₇₃ and install applications from third-party Flatpak repositories that only provide
- 74 OpenPGP signatures.

75 apertis-archive-keyring

- This package contains all necessary GnuPG cryptographic keys needed to sign
- 77 all Apertis archives. The runtime dependency on gpgv can be removed with no
- 78 ill effect.

79 APT

81

- 80 gpgv is used by APT:
 - to assert trust on remote package repository indexes
 - by apt-key which is deprecated⁵ and will be removed
 - in build-time tests
- 84 Calls to gpgv are encapsulated in ExecGPGV function located in apt-
- 85 pkg/contrib/gpgv.cc.
- 86 At the time this document is written, there's a discussion in Debian mailing
- $_{87}$ list regarding ideas to replace gpgv with sqv 6 . The emerging long term idea is
- to have the APT code link to the Sequoia cryptographic library underlying sqv,
- 89 rather than the current approach of invoking an external process.

90 Flatpak

- Flatpak application and library use both libgpgme11 and libostree.
- 92 GnuPG is used by Flatpak:
- during development to sign the package and summaries,
- and on target to verify the signatures.
- 95 Starting with Apertis v2022dev2, Flatpak is also able to use Ed25519 cryptogra-
- 96 phy.

97 gmime

- 98 GnuPG is used by gmime to encrypt, decrypt, sign and verify messages with Mul-
- 99 tipurpose Internet Mail Extension.
- $_{100}$ Starting with Apertis v2022dev3, the ability to encrypt, decrypt, sign and verify
- messages has been disabled in qmime.

⁵https://manpages.debian.org/testing/apt/apt-key.8.en.html

 $^{^6 \}rm https://lists.debian.org/deity/2021/01/msg00088.html$

2 OSTree

104

105

- 103 GnuPG is used by OSTree:
 - during development to sign the commits,
 - and on target to verify the commits.
- Current version of Ostree in Apertis is also able to use Ed25519 cryptography.

volume-key

- See Debian manpage⁷.
- 109 GnuPG is used by volume-key to encrypt or decrypt the file used to store extracted
- "secrets" used for volume encryption (for example keys or passphrases).
- Starting with Apertis v2022dev3, key escrow support has been disabled in lib-
- 112 blockdev library, allowing to remove volume-key.

113 Approach

114 The following alternative replacements have been considered:

library	License	language	comment
RNP	BSD-2-Clause + BSD-3-Clause + Apache-2.0	C++	
rPGP	Apache-2.0 or MIT	Rust	
Sequoia	GPL-2+	Rust	uses Nettle/GMP
golang.org/x/crypto/openpgp	BSD-3-Clause	Golang	·
gpgrv	Apache-2.0 or MIT	Rust	only provides gpg

\mathbf{RNP}

- https://github.com/rnpgp/rnp
- Started in 2017.
- RNP originated as an attempt to modernize the NetPGP codebase originally
- created by Alistair Crooks of NetBSD in 2016. RNP has been heavily rewritten,
- and carries minimal if any code from the original codebase

Version	# commits	# contributors	CI	gpgv replacement	C API
0.14	2700	31	yes	yes	yes

121 Used by:

 $^{^7 \}rm https://manpages.debian.org/buster/volume-key/volume_key.8.en.html$

- Thunderbird
- EnMail⁸ ruby gem

124 rPGP

122

123

128

129

131

132

134

135

136

137

140

141

143

144

145

146

147

148

150

https://github.com/rpgp/rpgp

126 Started in 2017.

27 Project description from rPGP site:

rPGP is the only full Rust implementation of OpenPGP, following RFC4880 and RFC2440. It offers a minimal low-level API and does not prescribe trust schemes or key management policies. It fully supports all functionality required by the Autocrypt 1.1 e-mail encryption specification.

133 ...

rPGP and its RSA dependency got a first independent security review mid 2019. No critical flaws were found. We have fixed and are fixing some high, medium and low risk ones. We will soon publish the full review report.

Further independent security reviews are upcoming.

139

How is rPGP different from Sequoia?

Some key differences:

- rPGP has a more libre license than Sequoia that allows a broader usage
- rPGP is a library with a well-defined, relatively small featureset where Sequoia also tries to be a replacement for the GPG command line tool
- All crypto used in rPGP is implemented in pure Rust, whereas sequoia uses Nettle, which is implemented in C.

Version	# commits	# contributors	CI	gpgv replacement	C API
0.7.1	334	12	no	no	no, but possible via a Rust shim

Used by:

• Delta Chat, the e-mail based messenger app suite⁹

⁸https://github.com/riboseinc/enmail

⁹https://delta.chat/

Sequoia

- https://sequoia-pgp.org/
- https://gitlab.com/sequoia-pgp/sequoia
- Started in 2017.
- 155 Project status:

156

157

158

165

167

- The low-level API is quite feature-complete and can be used encrypt, decrypt, sign, and verify messages. It can create, inspect, and manipulate OpenPGP data on a very low-level.
- The high-level API is effectively non-existent, though there is some functionality related to key servers and key stores.
- The foreign function interface provides a C API for some of Sequoia's s low- and high-level interfaces, but it is incomplete.
- There is a mostly feature-complete command-line verification tool for detached messages called 'sqv'.

sequoia uses Nettle¹⁰ which is dual licensed LGPL-3.0 and GPL-2.0¹¹, see COPYING.LESSERv3, COPYINGv3, and COPYINGv2 files in the Nettle source repository¹². This is compliant with the Apertis Open Source License Expectations¹³ since Sequoia itself is licensed under the GPL-2.0 terms.

Version	# commits	# contrib- utors	CI	gpgv re- placement	C API
library:	3948	33	yes	yes	yes
1.0.0					
Command					
line tools:					
0.23.0					

Used by:

170

- Pijul, KIPA, Radicle, see https://sequoia-pgp.org/projects/
- 171 Sequoia is already packaged for Debian bullseye.

golang.org/x/crypto/openpgp

https://pkg.go.dev/golang.org/x/crypto/openpgp

 $^{^{10} \}rm https://git.lysator.liu.se/nettle/nettle$

¹¹http://www.lysator.liu.se/~nisse/nettle/nettle.html#Copyright

¹²https://git.lysator.liu.se/nettle/nettle

¹³https://www.apertis.org/policies/license-expectations/

- https://github.com/golang/crypto/tree/master/openpgp
- This package is part of the Go crypto package.

Version	# commits	# contributors	CI	gpgv replacement	C API
v0.0.0-20201221181555-eec23a3978ad			no	no	no

- 176 Used by:
- Imported by a lot of Go projects, see https://pkg.go.dev/golang.org/x/cr ypto/openpgp?tab=importedby
- $_{_{179}}$ $_{\mathbf{gpgrv}}$
- https://github.com/FauxFaux/gpgrv
- 181 Started in 2017.
- gpgrv is a Rust library for verifying some types of GPG signatures.
- 183 It currently able to verify RSA, SHA1, SHA256 and SHA512 signatures.

Version	# commits	# contributors	CI	gpgv replacement	C API
$0.3.0^{14}$	109	2	no	yes	NA

- 184 Used by:
 - APT

Evaluation Report

- The golang.org/x/crypto/openpgp package only provides a Go interface and would
- then require substantial effort to be integrated in other places.
- gpgrv doesn't seem to be actively developed, with the last commit being on August 2020.
- 191 RNP and Sequoia provide C interfaces and CLI interfaces to encrypt, decrypt,
- 192 sign or verify files. They have both received a lot of commits, and have many
- 193 contributors.
- 194 rpgp does not provide any CLI interface and a C interface would require a Rust
- shim, but its licensing terms are much more flexible than the Sequoia ones. It
- is actively developed. but it has fewer commits and contributors than Sequoia.

¹⁴https://crates.io/crates/gpgrv

Red Hat removed the OpenPGP support from Thunderbird in Red Hat Enterprise Linux (RHEL), which uses RNP, due to not wanting to distribute Botan¹⁵, which has inadequate side-channel protection, see Red Hat bugs 1837512¹⁶ and 1886958¹⁷.

Debian upstream discussion

The Debian APT maintainers are discussing and planning the removal of the dependency on gpgv and potentially on OpenPGP as a whole.

For the replacement of gpgv Debian will likely not use RNP due to its Apache License, see here ¹⁸, and expressed some interest in linking directly to Sequoia ¹⁹.

However, the Debian APT maintainers expressed concrete interest in moving away from OpenPGP altogether²⁰, by changing the signature mechanism to use Ed25519 instead²¹.

Adopting a solution which is aligned to the upstream goals would save maintenance effort in the long term.

Recommendations

The split between rpgp (more permissive license, more limited goals) and Sequoia (more active, GPL-2.0 only) is unfortunate since rpgp would be more suitable for us but is also more risky regarding long term maintenance, with Sequoia being more promising in this regard.

216 The problems to be addressed are:

217

218

220

221

222

225

227

- 1. the use of GnuPG via gpgv on the target reference images
- 2. the use of GnuPG via libgpgme on the target reference images

 $_{\rm 219}$ $\,$ For gpgv there are two possible approaches:

- 1. use sqv from Sequoia to replace gpgv with basically no changes in the depending components
- 2. for GPL-2.0 applications, link to Sequoia directly as the APT maintainers said

For libgpgme the situation is more complex because the API surface is way bigger and there are no drop-in replacements. In addition Sequoia, by being GPL-2.0 licensed, is not suitable to be directly linked from GMime, OSTree and Flatpak which are LGPL-2.1 and provide libraries that are meant to be linked by

¹⁵https://botan.randombit.net/

¹⁶https://bugzilla.redhat.com/show_bug.cgi?id=1837512

¹⁷https://bugzilla.redhat.com/show_bug.cgi?id=1886958

¹⁸https://lists.debian.org/deity/2021/02/msg00011.html

 $^{^{19} \}rm https://lists.debian.org/deity/2021/02/msg00004.html$

²⁰https://lists.debian.org/deity/2021/02/msg00023.html

²¹https://wiki.debian.org/Teams/Apt/Spec/AptSign

applications that may be released under licenses incompatible with the GPL-2.0 or even proprietary. rpgp may be a better choice in this regard.

The approach could then be:

231

232

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

242

243

244

246

248

250 251

252

254

255

256

257

259

261

262

264

265

266

267

268

269

- 1. ship sqv on target images and create a new sequoia-gpgv wrapper which sends the correct status codes so that it gets transparently picked up by APT
- 2. patch $\mbox{\sc apertis-archive-keyring}$ to avoid any runtime dependency on GnuPG
- 3. disable OpenPGP support from ostree, replacing it with the use of Ed25519 signatures
 - this will drop the ability to assert trust when pulling from third party OpenPGP-signed repositories, which has never been a use-case of interest in Apertis
- disable OpenPGP support from Flatpak, replacing it with the use of Ed25519 signatures
 - this will drop the ability to assert trust when pulling from third party Flatpak repositories, which is not a use-case of interest for Apertis target devices but at some point is likely to be desirable on the SDK, so we may consider re-introducing GnuPG support only there where the GPL-3 restrictions are not a concern
- 5. disable OpenPGP support from GMime
 - this will drop the ability to send/receive encrypted emails when using evolution-data-server, which has never been a use-case of interest in Apertis
- 6. disable key escrow support from libblockdev so we can drop the volume-key package as a whole with its dependency on libgpgme
- 7. move the gpgme source package to the development package repository
- 8. move the gnupg source package to the development package repository
- 9. re-align the gnupg source package to Debian

With the steps above it would be possible to stop shipping an outdated GnuPG version with limited effort and limited regressions. In particular, disabling OpenPGP support from Flatpak means that it would not be possible to verify the provenance of applications shipped by third-party stores which use OpenPGP like Flathub, and disabling it from GMime would mean that it could not verify or decrypt OpenPGP emails: both regressions have a very limited impact on the Apertis use-cases.

In the longer term, other activities can be undertaken to get rid of the downstream delta introduced above:

- 1. engage with the APT upstream maintainers to help them move away from OpenPGP signatures 22
- 2. engage with OSTree and Flatpak upstream maintainers to dynamically load libgpame that it can be picked up on the SDK where installing GPL-3.0

²²https://wiki.debian.org/Teams/Apt/Spec/AptSign

- components is not an issue and where it can be useful to install applications from third-party store like Flathub
 - 3. engage with Flathub people to support Ed25519 signatures in addition to the OpenPGP ones
 - 4. fully re-enable OpenPGP support in the components where it has been disabled by either:
 - 5. porting them to use rpgp by engaging with the upstream maintainers about implementing minimal Rush shims
 - 6. implementing a libgpgme backend that invokes Sequoia externally to avoid licensing issues, either by engaging with the libgpgme maintainers or the Sequoia maintainers by providing compatibility with the --with-colons machine parsable mode²³

\mathbf{Risks}

272

274

275

276

278

280

281

Drop-in reimplementations may not be 100% compatible and thus may cause subtle issues.

 $^{^{23}}$ https://github.com/gpg/gnupg/blob/master/doc/DETAILS